

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/076,034	NISHIMURA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carlos Lopez	1731

All Participants:

(1) Carlos Lopez.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) ____.

(2) Andrew Tiajoloff.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 9 July 2004

Time: 4pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(b)/103(a) rejection over US 6094941

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6094941

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
It was noted to applicant that instant claim 1 reads on US 6094941 because the natural diffusion of hydrogen into the glass member would cause a decrease in pressure in the sealed chamber of US 6094941. Applicant agreed to insert the magnitude of the pressure decrease by inserting the limitation "in an amount in the range from 7.5 atm to 75 " to thus not read on the pressure decrease caused by the natural diffusion of the hydrogen into the glass member.